

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,037	07/13/2001	Zine Eddine Boutaghou	STL 9721	2226
7590 05/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Seagate Technology LLC			VINH, LAN	
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7801 Computer Avenue			AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
South-NRW097			1765	
Bloomington, MN 55435			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/905,037	BOUTAGHOU, ZINE EDDINE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Vinh	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the properiod of the properiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 /	<u> April 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13,16,17 and 22 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,14,15 and 18-21 is/are rejection claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ 	ted.	ration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>051204</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, species IV (claims 1-9, 14-15, 18-21) in Paper No. 0404 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 14-15, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wada et al (US 5,001,080).

Wada discloses a method for producing a monolithically integrated device comprises the steps of:

forming/positioning a patterned resist mask layer 3 over the structure/device 1(col 3, lines 25-30, fig. 1D)

using ion beam etching to etch the pattern into a surface of the structure/device 1 to form one sidewall and a round edge between the surface on the device and one sidewall in the pattern (col 35-40, fig. 1E shows a rounded edge 2 between the surface on the sub and the sidewall

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 1E also shows the rounded edge/arcuate edge extends along the sidewall

Art Unit: 1765

Regarding claim 3, Wada discloses using ion beam etching to etch a mesa/opening in the substrate/device (col 3, lines 36-38)

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 1E shows the etching step forms an island, the sidewall octaed on an edge of the island

The limitations of claims 14-15 have been discussed above

Regarding claim 18, Wada discloses the step of forming/applying resist mask layer 3 to the surface of the substrate/device (col 3, lines 26-28)

Regarding claim 19, Fig. 1G shows that the substrate 1 comprises more than one layer

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada et al (US 5,001,080) in view of Celler et al (US 4,581, 814)

Wada's method has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 6-9, Wada does not specifically disclose forming the patterned mask with variable spacing to produce/vary the rounded edge

However, Celler discloses a process for fabricating semiconductor device comprises the step of forming the patterned mask with variable spacing (col 5, lines 35-38)

Art Unit: 1765

Hence, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to modify Wada method by forming the patterned mask with variable spacing to form a mask of varying sizes as taught by Celler (col 8, lines 36-38)

6. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wada et al (US 5,001,080) in view of Peterson et al (US 6,335,224)

Wada's method has been described above. Unlike the instant claimed inventions as per claims 20-21, Wada fails to disclose that the substrate/device is a MEMS device

Peterson discloses a method for protecting a microelectronic device (MEMS) using a rounded patterned masking layer 14 (col 7, lines 22-27, fig. 1C)

Since both Wada and Peterson are concerned with a method using rounded patterned masking layer, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious to employ Wada's rounded masking layer on a MEMS device in view of Peterson teaching because Peterson discloses that the MEMS elements are stabilized and protected by protective coating 14/rounded masking layer (col 8, lines 41-44)

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 1765

Regarding claim 4, the cited prior art of record fails to disclose the step of inserting a mating element into the opening on the device such that the rounded edge formed during the etching step permits the mating element to be inserted into an opening in the device without fracturing the device, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 4.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Vinh whose telephone number is 571 272 1471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571 272 1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LV

May 13, 2004